AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Application No.: 10/564,733

**REMARKS** 

Claims 2, 4-6, 10, 11, 13 and 14 have been examined. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected

Attorney Docket No.: Q92702

under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph and claims 2, 4, 5, 10 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Also, the

Examiner has indicated that claim 6 contains allowable subject matter and claims 13 and 14

would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and

second paragraphs.

I. Preliminary Matter

The Examiner has objected to claims 13 and 14 due to minor informalities. Accordingly,

Applicant has amended the claims in a manner believed to overcome the objection.

II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as

allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. Accordingly, Applicant has

amended the claims in a manner believed to overcome each point of the rejection.

III. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as

allegedly being indefinite. Applicant has amended claim 14 to remove the antecedent basis

issues. Also, with regard to the method steps, the Examiner maintains that it is unclear whether

Application No.: 10/564,733

the base tread layer and/or the cap tread layer is being formed. Accordingly, Applicant has amended the claim to clarify which layer is being formed.

IV. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No.

2002/0007893 to Koyama, WO 98/38050 to Verbrugghe ("Vergrugghe") and JP 11-020426

to Kusano ("Kusano")

The Examiner has rejected claims 2, 5 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Koyama, Verbrugghe and Kusano.

A. Claim 2

By this Amendment, Applicant has incorporated the allowable subject matter of claim 6 into claim 2. Accordingly, the rejection of claim 2 is now moot.

B. Claims 5

Applicant submits that claim 5 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency.

C. Claim 11

Claim 11 recites features that are analogous to the features of claim 2. By this Amendment, Applicant has incorporated subject matter analogous to the allowable subject matter of claim 6 into claim 11. Accordingly, the rejection of claim 11 is likewise now moot.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q92702

Application No.: 10/564,733

V. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Koyama, Verbrugghe, Kusano and JP

11-129713 to Shinichi et al. ("Shinichi")

The Examiner has rejected claims 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

unpatentable over Koyama, Verbrugghe, Kusano and Shinichi. Applicant submits, however, that

claim 4 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency upon claim 2.

VI. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Koyama, Verbrugghe, Kusano and EP

1201397 to Ohki ("Ohki")

The Examiner has rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

unpatentable over Koyama, Verbrugghe, Kusano and Ohki. Applicant submits, however, that

claim 10 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency upon claim 11.

VII. Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claim 6 contains allowable subject matter and claims 13

and 14 would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first

and second paragraphs. In view of the amendments to claims 13 and 14, Applicant submits that

such claims should now be in condition for allowance. Also, since claim 6 has been incorporated

into claim 2, Applicant has canceled claim 6 without prejudice or disclaimer.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Attorney Docket No.: Q92702

Application No.: 10/564,733

VIII. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Allison M. Tulino/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Allison M. Tulino

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{WASHINGTON OFFICE} \\ 23373 \end{array}$ 

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 26, 2010

Registration No. 48,294